

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/542,445	04/04/2000	Mark A. Staples	BEH-7354A-Div	BEH-7354A-Div 5919	
34500	7590 09/27/2004		EXAMINER		
DADE BEHRING INC.			DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N		
LEGAL DEPARTMENT 1717 DEERFIELD ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DEERFIELD, IL 60015			1645		
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action O9/542,445 Examiner Art Unit S. Devi. Ph.D. 1645

Application No.

Applicant(s)

	S. Devi, Ph.D.	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 1706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in t	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>29 January 2004</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Appellant's Brief must be filed w ₹ 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	ithin the period set of the appeal.	forth in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of t	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been cons <u>e Attachment</u> .	idered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:	•					
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square appr	oved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Attachment</u>						

Art Unit: 1645

ATTACHMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

Applicants' After-Final Amendment

1) Acknowledgment is made of Applicants' after-final amendment filed 11/24/03 is response to the final Office Action mailed 07/08/03. The amendment has been entered.

Status of Claims

No claims have been amended via the amendment filed 11/24/03.Claims 1-3 are pending and are under examination.

Prior Citation of Title 35 Sections

3) The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.

Prior Citation of References

4) The references cited or used as prior art in support of one or more rejections in the instant Office Action and not included on an attached form PTO-892 or form PTO-1449 have been previously cited and made of record.

Terminal Disclaimer

Acknowledgment is made of Applicants' terminal disclaimer filed 11/24/03 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US patent 6,171,801.

Objection(s) Maintained

The objection to the specification made in paragraph 7(b) of the Office Action mailed 09/04/01 is maintained for reasons set forth therein. Applicants have requested previously that amendments to the trademark recitations be done via an Examiner's amendment after the indication allowable subject matter.

Rejection(s) Withdrawn

- 7) The rejection of claims 1-3 made in paragraph 8(a) of the Office Action mailed 09/04/01 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-4 of the US patent 6,171,801, is withdrawn in light of Applicants' submission of a terminal disclaimer
- 8) The rejection of claims 1-3 made in paragraph 8(b) of the Office Action mailed 09/04/01 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 10,

Serial Number 09/542,445

Art Unit: 1645

11, 26 and 27 of the patent, US 6,159,698, is withdrawn in light of Applicants' submission of a terminal disclaimer

Rejection(s) Maintained

9) The rejection of claims 1-3 made in paragraph 10 of the Office Action mailed 12/31/02 and maintained in paragraph 9 of the Office Action under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tabachnick *et al.* (*Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 136: 467-479, 1970) in view of Khanna *et al.* (US 4,798,804, already of record), is maintained for reasons set forth therein and herebelow.

Applicants disagree with the Office's position that Tabachnick does not teach away from the present invention. Applicants argue that the ortho derivative in Table 11 lists a relative affinity of 30, which is substantially less than most of the other derivatives listed. Applicants state that at page 471, column 1, last paragraph, Tabachnick *et al.* teach that a benzoate derivative having a halogen (or nitro) substituent ortho to the carboxyl group exhibits a reduced affinity for HSA compared to a similarly substituted phenol. With this, Applicants submit that Tabachnick teaches away from using the present invention and one skilled in the art would not look to Tabachnick for guidance. Applicants further state that Khanna describes releasing a beta cyclodextrin from digoxin, and that cyclodextrin is not an endogenous protein.

Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered, but are non-persuasive. As set forth previously, if Khanna taught the use of methoxybenzoic acid as a releasing agent for releasing a ligand from a complex with an endogenous protein, Khanna would have been applied under 35 U.S.C § 102 to reject the claims. It is once again emphasized that only claim 2 is limited to the use of the compound where X is O. Tabachnick *et al.* taught the relative affinities of substituted benzoates for HSA, which is an endogenous protein. In the abstract or in Table II, Tabachnick *et al.* did not teach the total absence of affinity of substituted benzoates for HSA. Instead, Tabachnick *et al.* demonstrated that *ortho* substituent shows much better affinity for HSA compared to benzoate and 2, 5 substituted benzoate, and almost the same affinity for HSA as that shown by 2,6-substituted benzoate. Since a reduction in relative affinity is not equivalent to the absence of affinity, Tabachnick *et al.* does not teach away from the claimed invention, but teach the *ortho* substituted benzoate as one of the releasing agents. Moreover, the fact that seventeen years after Tabachnick's disclosure, as opposed to getting discouraged from Tabachnick's teachings, Khanna *et al.* expressly

Serial Number 09/542,445

Art Unit: 1645

taught the successful use of the specific substituted benzoic acid, methoxybenzoic acid, as a releasing agent for releasing a ligand from a complex, is *prima facie* evidence that Tabachnick *et al.* do not teach away from the instant invention. Since the primary reference, Tabachnick *et al.*, already taught the use of an *ortho* substituted benzoic acid derivative in a method for releasing or displacing thyroxine (i.e., a ligand) specifically from a complex with human serum albumin (i.e., endogenous protein) by contacting a medium containing the complex with an effective amount of a substituted, or *ortho* substituted benzoic acid derivative, Khanna does not have to teach or suggest a method to release a ligand from an endogenous protein. The rejection stands.

Remarks

- 10) Claims 1-3 stand rejected.
- 11) Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600, AU 1645 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be transmitted via the PTO Fax Center, which receives transmissions 24 hours a day and 7 days a week. The transmission of such papers by facsimile must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30, November 15, 1989. The RightFax number for submission of amendments, responses or papers is (703) 872-9306.
- 12) Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAG or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.Mov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAA system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to S. Devi, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0854. A message may be left on the Examiner's voice mail system. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7.15 a.m. to 4.15 p.m. except one day each bi-week, which would be disclosed on the Examiner's voice mail system.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith, can be reached on (571) 272-0864.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

Serial Number 09/542,445

Art Unit: 1645

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

September, 2004

S. DEVI, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER